

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/809,408	DERVIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chun Cao	2115	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Chun Cao.

(3) _____

(2) Scott A. Stinebruner (Reg. No. 38,323).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 April 2005

Time: 3:30 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C 101 rejection is discussed.

Claims discussed:

34-38

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection is discussed pertaining to claims 34-38. Applicant agreed to amend claims 34 and 36-38 to overcome the rejection as set forth in examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to cancel claim 35.